

Consultation Council
February 19, 2015

At the beginning of Consultation, administrative members suggested that the Council might want to consider a study of the long-term effects including the requirements and effects of the FON, especially now that we have positive funding. EVC Erik Skinner indicated that this issue would only be opened if there is a shared consensus among the various groups. (At this point, David Morse mentioned his work with Bonnie Dowd and Willy Duncan as congruent with this request.)

1) Institutional Effectiveness: Framework of Indicators

Primary discussion was two requests:

- a) That the form/report indicate that colleges ARE FULLY accredited and then check the box regarding the specific situation (reaffirmed, warning, probation, etc.)
- b) Add information on transfers by students through the Associate Degree for Transfer (ADT)
- c) Staff indicated that they are working on a number of additional indicators

2) 2015-16 Budget Proposal

P1 shows that growth is approximately 1.91%; statutory growth is 2.75%.

The deficit is currently 1.5% but likely to be reduced as redevelopment funds are received.

The Chancellor's Office suggested the following priorities for use of the new May Revise funds: professional development, full-time faculty hiring, restoration for categoricals including COLA. Consultation members recommended support for child care centers as they are important for student success of female students.

Jeff Bell is the new program manager at DOF, replacing Nick Sweitzer.

Assembly Budget Subcommittee #2 – CC budget hearing on April 8

Senate Budget Subcommittee #1 – CC budget hearing on April 30

3) Student Success and Support Program Institutional Match and Student Equity Carryover (It was noted that there is no match for student equity funds.)

VC Denise Noldon noted that the Chancellor's Office had committed to not increasing the match beyond the current level, but won't set that level until the budget is signed. Staff was also asked about the reason for the match, noting that we are told that it is due to pressure from DOF. However, Christian Osmena from DOF was present and asked about this; he said he had no opinion.

Council members are concerned about need for startup costs which cannot be funded under SSSP guidelines. This makes it very difficult for districts hiring significant numbers of new staff and preparing to better serve students, but are unable to purchase desks, etc for new staff members.

The CSSOs are concerned that districts which backfilled the loss of categorical funds would be penalized for supplanting if they want to use the SSSP funds in place of that backfill. This makes compliance very difficult -- there are too many strings/restrictions. The CEO representative noted that using SSSP funds for this purpose should be seen as “restoration” – not supplanting. Erik Skinner responded that funds should be used to “re-tool” rather than to “restore.” However, he also indicated that Denise Noldon would work with the CSSOs on these issues and the CCCCCO would be sending out guidelines.

4) Governmental Relations

VC Vince Stewart briefly mentioned several bills:

*AB 176 (Bonta) – Disaggregation of Asian student data – concerns about categories becoming too “granular” (i.e., too small in number) so that data are not reliable; also FERPA concerns due to potentially small numbers in some groups.

AB 288 (Holden) -- Concurrent enrollment.

AB 340 (Weber) --Campus climate bill likely to be expanded to include sexual assault issues.

AB 343 (Melendez) – Academic credit for prior military experience – intended to make sure that we meet requirements of AB 2462 from previous session, report due June 2015.

SB 186 (Jackson) – Removal or suspension of students for off-campus actions – difficult implementation; concerns about colleges becoming engaged in law enforcement activities.

5) Workforce

VC Van Ton-Quinlivan presented updated info on the task force, and included a handout on the process. She encourages feedback from those in the system. There is much discussion about the funding area, with a “theme note” that the task force consider “revising the funding model to address high cost CTE programs that have labor market demand or for new programs with labor market demand.” Van Ton-Quinlivan indicated that papers have been commissioned and a review of actions in other states has been undertaken.

6) Accreditation

VC Pam Walker said that her office is developing a grid for all recommendations and actions which have occurred since the 2013 report was developed to the Chancellors’ Office. These will include the work of the CEOs done through the League, the Chancellor’s task force, and the State Audit Bureau report to determine common themes and opportunities for dialogue with ACCJC. She expects to have a proposal to the BOG in July 2015.

CCSF – The Chancellor’s Office is working on a plan to return power to the newly-elected board members. It has been drafted and staff is working with local CCSF stakeholders including the new board members. Pam also noted that we must remember our “ethic of professional care” for staff at CCSF. They have “been through a war” and likely have many scars.

Compton – The district has begun the process of re-applying for accreditation of the campus as a fully-accredited college.