District/College: Statewide Estimate by Districts and College (Centers not included)

COST TYPE	ESTIMATED COST	NOTES
Scope of Population - A recent survey by the Chancellor's Office and The Hope Lab found that almost 19% of our students are homeless.		19% estimated students across the state x 15% take rate = 54,000 students. Reference Datamart 2017-2018/ Real College Survey
Security - Describe increased workload to protect students and facilities after classes have concluded.	\$39,217,800	To provide security and to comply with Cleary requirements 3-4 security officers will be needed seven nights a week, including graveyard shift pay, at each of the colleges, at a yearly rate of \$82,925 each. Each college campus would need to dedicated officer on swing and graveyard shifts everyday. Costs possible for some districts include: \$684,000 = Uniforms/Radio/Equipment \$2,000 per person \$720,000 = Infrastructure: gates/crossing arm to parking lot, guard kiosk, cameras
Verification – For safety, colleges would need to verify students from non-students.	\$2,430,000	Issuance of an AB 302 associated overnight parking permit. Administrative and production costs estimated at \$15 per student per semester. (Assuming a take rate of 15% of the estimated homeless population utilizes)
Sanitation & Custodial – This bill will mandate clean and safe parking lots.	\$13,833,528	Districts would hire additional entry level custodians to pick up trash in the parking lots. Additional trash pickup by the waste hauling company would not be required. The overnight student parking would be contained to one lot per college. The students would be able to park in the lot 7 days per week. During the normal college operation hours existing staff would maintain and service the parking areas. Custodial would empty the trash containers in parking lot two times daily and pick up trash in parking lot once daily. Groundskeeper Coverage: Groundskeeper 4 hours daily for parking lot maintenance. 1,460 Groundskeeper hours x \$ per campus total. It costs approximately \$40,000 dollars a year to maintain a parking area. This assumes 1 lot per campus.
Restrooms - The bill requires accessible restrooms.	\$3,420,000	The District would need to hire additional entry level custodians to service the bathrooms. Existing custodial equipment would be used to maintain the restrooms. One male, one female, and one gender neutral bathroom would be available on each college campus. (This assumes the restrooms will remain open for student use 24 hours per day and 7 days per week). During the normal college operation hours the existing staff would maintain and service the restrooms. Custodial would clean three restrooms twice per day during the work week and three times per day during the weekends. Hallways associated with the restrooms would be cleaned once per day. If needed, to rent facilities to meet AB 302 requirements, cost are approximately \$2,500 a month x 12 months, 114 campuses. This cost assumes colleges rent facilities due to it's lower cost.
6. Liability – AB 302 does not clarify who is responsible for crimes, assault, theft, injury to homeless students, and medical emergencies. Additionally, AB 302 is silent on the entity that is liable for Clery Act violations. With a signed waiver, districts/colleges may still face the threat of lawsuits for incidents on campus parking lots during the evening hours.	\$8,550,000	The estimated increase of our Excess Liability would be ~\$50,000 - \$75,000 based on the points noted above. If the factors above vary, it could be +/-\$95,000. Furthermore, the use of parking structures on campus as temporary housing for students could trigger additional environmental and/or Division of State Architect review to determine whether the structure is safe for habitation by students. Adding to the risk analysis of students sleeping overnight in their cars would be based on: • Statewide homeless students enrolled in coursework • Who would be responsible for safety? District employees (Security) vs. City and/or County • Districts would need to have policies and procedures in place addressing safety, security, and which employees will be doing what • Consideration the # of homeless student population that will be staying at District • No children • No alcohol, drugs, etc. • No cooking • Subject to current SIR of \$150,000

TOTAL	\$68,879,328	
9. Planning Costs — Please list any local ordinances that AB 302 does not conform to.		Samples from Colleges: LA: While the nine LACCD campuses are within multiple jurisdictions, those located within the City of Los Angeles are subject to Municipal Code (LAMC) 85.02. LAMC 85.02 includes "No vehicle dwelling anytime" designations potentially affecting certain campuses. Additionally, LAMC prohibits living in a vehicle (vehicle dwelling) at all times within one block (500 feet) of licensed schools, pre-schools, daycare facilities or parks. The Planning assessment it is too speculative to identify costs until LACCD has actual proposals for each campus. It is also too early to provide any CEQA related costs until LACCD has a better sense of actual AB302 related proposals. San Mateo: Canada College: San Mateo County Ordinance Code 7.96.30 of Title 7 CSM: City of San Mateo Charter nd Municipal Code 10.04.014 Skyline College: City of San Bruno Municipal Code 4.52.110
Reporting Costs - Cost to report on the status of the mandated homeless student parking program.	\$288,000	1 analyst level staff per college, 2-4 hours. \$4,000/district
Mandate Cost Claims - Mandates are reimbursed only after costs are incurred. Costs incurred to claim mandates.	\$1,140,000	Currently Community College Districts have two choices when applying for mandate fund reimbursements: 1. Agree to accept \$28 per FTES as full reimbursement for all expenses that fall within mandate qualifying items/programs within the given fiscal year. 2. Request reimbursement on an item by item basis. If AB 302 is not included in the list of program for item 1, it would take approximately a week of a financial analyst staff time to prepare the claim and 1 week to defend the claim in an audit. Placeholder number of administrator performing these tasks = 10% FTE