MT. SAN ANTONIO COLLEGE ACCREDITATION STEERING COMMITTEE AGENDA

MARCH 1, 2019

ATTENDING:

	Х	JOUMANA MCGOWAN, CO-CHAIR
X LIANNE GREENLEE		
	Х	AUDREY YAMAGATA-NOJI

Х	KRISTINA ALLENDE, CO-CHAIR
	JOHNNY JAUREGUI
	CHISA UYEKI

Х	MADELYN ARBALLO
Х	BETA MEYER

Х	RONALD BEAN
	BARBARA MCNEI

N	
NEICE-STALLARD	

ĺ	Х	ALEXIS CARTER
	Х	ROBERT STUBBE

DIANA DZIB EMILY WOOLERY

LISA JACKSON, RECORDER

	Торіс	UPDATES/DISCUSSION	Ουτςομε/Αςτιο
			N
1.	Welcome and information:	Kristina welcomed the group to the meeting.	
2.	Minutes of November 2, 2018:	 Minutes approved with a correction to the attendees from the last meeting. Motion to approve the minutes made by Kristina and seconded by Beta. All were in favor to approve the minutes said aye with the exception of Robert, Joumana, and Chisa who abstained. 	
3.	Agenda Review:		
4.	Review of Purpose and Function Statement (attachment):	 There was a review of the Purpose, Function & Membership Statement. The following changes were noted: Item No. 1 – added VPI before (Co-Chair) Item No. 1 – Replaced Irene Malmgren with Joumana McGowan Item No. 4 – Replaced Dean with Management from and updated term to 18-21. Item 7 – Sokha Song replaced with Alexis Carter and updated term to 18-21 Item 9 – Added Chisa Uyeki and removed Martin Ramey and updated term to 18-20 Item No. 10 – Removed Eric Kaljumagi and replaced with Beta Meyer and updated term to 18-21 Item No. 11 – updated term to 18-19 	

Excellence & Distinction: Our Pathway to Accreditation

		 Item No. 12 – Removed Sandra Bollier and replaced with Robert Stubbe and updated term to 19-21 Item No. 13 – Removed Ruben Flores and replaced with Johnny Jauregui, term TBD. Item No. 14 – updated term to 18-19 Item No. 15 – added the word or Designee and updated the term to ongoing. Goal 4 – was that we have is great but not evaluation. Need to evaluate the number of committees because it was extensive. Governance Committee – removed Irene Malmgren and replaced with ALO. Meeting scheduled changed to read monthly during Fall and Spring. Committee structure hasn't been looked at and still needs to be reviewed. The group agreed to keep Goal 4 because it keeps it on the radar because we haven't worked on it yet. We should keep goal 4 because it keeps it on the radar because we haven't worked on it yet. Kristina and Joumana to meet with Carole to review and discuss goal
5.	Review of the local goal setting	setting. Kristina reported on a local goal setting project presented to the Board by
	discussion:	Barbara and Michelle.
		There are two goals that we are mapping back to the Chancellor's
		Vision for Success goals. Our college goals and our indicators are
		accreditation pieces because they are institutional set standards.
		1. Key Performance Indicators about the number of completed
		Chancellor's approved certificates.
		2. Transfers to regionally accredited institutions.
		•

		Institutional Effectiveness Committee (IEC) is the group that reviews those	
		every year and makes sure that our goals are appropriate. The timeline for	
		the goals will be created at the next IEC meeting.	
		 By December 2018 we had to indicate that our process was 	
		underway.	
		• By May 31, 2019, the Board has to adopt the alignment.	
		 Once IEC develops the timeline for alignment, it will then be 	
		brought to ASC, then to PAC, and finally to the Board.	
		We must have quorum at the next meeting	
6.	Report on Commission actions	Kristina reported that the Accrediting Commission's actions on institutions	
•	from the January 2019 meeting:	in January included no sanctions for any of the colleges that participated in	
	,	the ISER self-evaluation process in the Fall.	
		The new leadership has been working well with the colleges. They have	
		changed the way teams are changed and how they go out to the colleges	
		and review them.	
		 Each VP is assigned to about 40 colleges. 	
		The process is more collaborative.	
7.	Report on new self-evaluation	The Commission has decided that two colleges will participate in a new	
	process pilot:	pilot process that will be a more formative, summative evaluation process.	
		Citrus College will participate in the new pilot to report on the self-	
		evaluation process.	
		 Lianne, who is an expert in WASC, provided the group with an evention of the process and how it relates to ACC WASC 	
		 overview of the process and how it relates to ACS WASC. As a college, we are identifying where we are, what we need to do, 	
		• As a conege, we are identifying where we are, what we need to do, and how we get there.	
8.	Taft College self-evaluation – Mt.	Kristina stated that she was invited to present at Taft College. They will	
0.	SAC's involvement:	begin their accreditation process soon and they have a new VPI and ALO as	
		well as new faculty members that will be a part of the process.	

Issue Bin/Future Agenda: • TBD		Future meetings: May 3, June 7
10.	Brainstorm of tasks for ASC for Spring 2019:	Eutono montinen. Meu 2 June 7
		Lianne also stated that she would share the details of that visit with the group and how it could be beneficial to Mt. SAC.
		They will also share the work that was done after the visit as a team and with the committee's across campus, how standards were assigned, QFE follow-up, and carrying on the work of accreditation.
		 accreditation process, but carrying the work forward Details about the visit and how we went through it
		 The perspective of the work of this team and not just during the
		 How the communication changed The creation and formation of ASC as experts for the campus
		 How we changed our structures and what structures were used
		 presentation will include: How the campus was engaged
		accreditation, including a list of elements to be worked on. The
		share a 7-year perspective of where we came from with our 2010
9.	Presentation at ACCJC Conference:	With the shift in the ACCJC, there is a conference in April in San Francisco that Lianne, Kristina, and Barbara were asked to present at. They will
		 They were able to discuss experiences, things that went well and things that didn't go well. A lot of good feedback was received. It seemed to be helpful to have a faculty member there versus just having someone from the Commission present to them.
		 Kristina and Gohar presented a 5-hour training session, especially for Taft College. This is something new that the Commission didn't do in previous years.