## PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001)

**LEASE REVENUE BONDS (2007)** 

**BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES (2009)** 

June 30, 2013



# PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) LEASE REVENUE BONDS (2007) BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES (2009)

June 30, 2013

#### **CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Background Information	2-4
Objectives	4-5
Scope of the Audit	5
Procedures Performed	5-6
Results of Procedures	7-16
Conclusion	16

The Board of Trustees
The Citizens' Oversight Committee
Mt. San Antonio Community College District
1100 North Grand Avenue
Walnut, CA 91789

We have conducted a performance audit of the Mt. San Antonio Community College District (the "District"), Measure R General Obligation Bond funds for the year ended June 30, 2013. In addition, in anticipation of the District's plan to issue Measure RR General Obligation Bond funds, we have conducted a performance audit of the District's use of proceeds from lease revenue bonds (2007) and bond anticipation notes (2009) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013.

We conducted our performance audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusion based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed on pages 4-5 of this report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements for the Proposition 39 Measure R/RR General Obligation Bonds under Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution and under certain provisions of Sections 15264 and 15272 – 15286 of the California Education Code. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

Solely to assist us in planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the internal controls of the District to determine if internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution and certain provisions of Sections 15264 and 15272 – 15286 of the California Education Code. Accordingly, we do not express any assurance on internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 the District complied, in all significant respects, with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution, and certain provisions of Sections 15264 and 15272 – 15286 of the California Education Code. In addition, the District expended proceeds from the lease revenue bonds and bond anticipation notes for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, only for the purposes approved by the voters and only on the specific projects developed by the District's Board of Trustees under Measure RR, in accordance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution.

Dicenti, Hoyd & Shtyran UP VICENTI, LLOYD & STUTZMAN LLP Glendora, CA

November 26, 2013

## PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) LEASE DEVENUE BONDS (2007)

LEASE REVENUE BONDS (2007) BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES (2009)

June 30, 2013

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

#### Measure R

In November 2000, the voters of the State of California approved Proposition 39 authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds by California public school districts and community colleges under certain circumstances and subject to certain conditions. In November 2001, a general obligation bond proposition (Measure R) of the Mt. San Antonio Community College District was approved by the voters of the District. Measure R authorized the District to issue up to \$221 million of general obligation bonds to finance various capital projects and related costs, as specified in the bond measure provisions.

Accordingly, the following bonds were issued:

- In May 2002, the first series of bonds, Series A, in the amount of \$40 million was issued.
- In February 2004, the second series of bonds, Series B, in the amount of \$75 million was issued.
- In September 2005, \$75.7 million in general obligation refunding bonds were issued to refund certain outstanding Series A and Series B bonds. In addition, the refunding bonds generated \$8.6 million in proceeds received by the District (net of the original bond issuance costs).
- In September 2006, the third series of bonds, Series C, in the amount of \$80 million was issued.
- In July 2008, the fourth and final series of bonds, Series D, in the amount of \$26 million was issued.
- In June 2012, \$29.9 million in general obligation refunding bonds were issued to refund certain outstanding 2005 refunding bonds.

Total proceeds from the bonds were received by the District (less the original bond issuance costs) and are to be used to finance the construction, acquisition, furnishing and equipping of District facilities.

## PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) LEASE REVENUE BONDS (2007) BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES (2009)

June 30, 2013

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION (continued)**

Measure R (continued)

Pursuant to the requirements of Proposition 39, and related state legislation, the Board of Trustees of the District appropriately established a Citizens' Oversight Committee and appointed its initial members. The principal purpose of the Citizens' Oversight Committee, as set out in state law, is to inform the public as to the expenditures of the proceeds of the bonds issued pursuant to the Measure R bond authorization. The Citizens' Oversight Committee is required to issue at least one report annually as to its activities and findings.

Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution requires the District to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the proceeds of the bonds deposited into the Measure R funds have been expended only for the authorized bond projects. Consistent with this provision, Section 15286 of the California Education Code requires the performance audit to be conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and be submitted to the Citizens' Oversight Committee by March 31<sup>st</sup> of each year.

#### Measure RR

In November 2008, voters of the District approved an additional general obligation bond proposition (Measure RR) of the Mt. San Antonio Community College District. Measure RR authorized the District to issue up to \$353 million of general obligation bonds to finance various capital projects and related costs, as specified in the bond measure provisions. As of June 30, 2013 no bonds have been sold under Measure RR as the assessed property values in the District were not sufficient for approval of a bond issuance. In order to continue with planned construction projects, the District sought temporary financing sources until such time that the District can obtain Measure RR bond proceeds.

In June 2008, the District issued \$10.8 million in lease revenue bonds to provide the financing of equipment, relocatable structures, and building projects. In April 2010, the District issued \$64.9 million in bond anticipation notes to (a) finance the repair, upgrading, acquisition, construction and equipping of certain District property and facilities and (b) refund the District's outstanding lease revenue bonds.

## PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) LEASE REVENUE BONDS (2007) BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES (2009)

June 30, 2013

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION (continued)**

#### Measure RR (continued)

In anticipation that the bond anticipation notes, together with the interest thereon, will be paid from the proceeds of the future sale of Measure RR bonds, the District requested that expenditures paid with the proceeds from lease revenue bonds and bond anticipation notes be audited to ensure funds have been expended only for the authorized Measure RR bond projects described in the Official Ballot for the Bond Measure.

In January 2009, the Board of Trustees of the District expanded the scope of the current Measure R Citizens' Oversight Committee and designated it to also serve as the independent bond oversight committee for Measure RR.

#### **OBJECTIVES**

The objectives of our performance audit were to:

- Obtain documentation for the expenditures charged to the Mt. San Antonio Community College District bond construction funds, bond anticipation note funds (hereinafter referred to as the "BAN funds"), and lease revenue bond funds (hereinafter referred to as the "lease revenue funds").
- Determine whether expenditures charged to the bond construction funds for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 have been made in accordance with the bond project authorization approved by the voters through the approval of Measure R in November 2001 ("Measure R Bond Projects").
- Determine whether expenditures charged to the BAN funds for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 have been made in accordance with the bond project authorization approved by the voters through the approval of Measure RR in November 2008 ("Measure RR Bond Projects").
- Determine whether expenditures charged to the lease revenue funds for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 have been made in accordance with the bond project authorization approved by the voters through the approval of Measure RR in November 2008 ("Measure RR Bond Projects").

# PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) LEASE REVENUE BONDS (2007) BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES (2009)

June 30, 2013

#### **OBJECTIVES** (continued)

- Note incongruities, system weaknesses, or non-compliance with specific Education Code Sections related to oversight of fund expenditures and provide recommendations for improvement.
- Provide the District Board and the Citizens' Oversight Committee with a performance audit as required under the requirements of the California Constitution and Proposition 39.

#### SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. Our sample selection considered object and project codes associated with the Measure R and related Measure RR Bond Projects. However, not all planned projects incurred expenditures in the current year, and not all projects with expenditures were tested. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through sources other than the funds described above, including interest thereon, were not included within the scope of our audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2013 were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

#### PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure summary reports and detail prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 for the bond construction funds, lease revenue funds, and BAN funds. Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39/Measure R and Measure RR funding. We performed the following procedures:

• For Measure R, we reviewed the Campus Master Plan Bond Project publicized list of intended projects. For Measure RR, we reviewed the list of intended projects published in the Official Ballot.

# PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) LEASE REVENUE BONDS (2007) BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES (2009)

June 30, 2013

#### PROCEDURES PERFORMED (continued)

We selected a sample of expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013 and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that funds were properly expended on the authorized bond projects, met the requirements for bidding, if applicable, and were not used for salaries of school administrators or other operating expenses of the District. The following table illustrates the sample selected for each source of funding, as well as the percentage of total expenditures tested.

	Number of Transactions	Dollar Value of Transactions Total Proje		Percentage of Total	
Fund	Tested	Tested	Expenditures	Expenditures	
Bond Construction Funds	38	\$ 1,744,379	\$ 1,999,338	87%	
Lease Revenue Funds	6	67,808	87,503	77%	
BAN Funds	135	6,567,875	15,983,940	41%	

Detailed results of our testing are identified by project beginning on page 8.

• We compared total project expenditures to budgets to determine if there were any expenditures in excess of appropriation.

Each of the projects has been given a specific project identification number and budget within the District's financial reporting system. Actual expenditures are matched against the budget to prevent cost overruns.

We reviewed documentation, including the District's website, to verify compliance with certain bond oversight requirements of the California Education Code, including the membership makeup of the Citizens' Oversight Committee, publication of committee proceedings, and issuance of an annual report to the public.

### PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF

#### PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) LEASE REVENUE BONDS (2007) BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES (2009)

June 30, 2013

#### RESULTS OF PROCEDURES

#### Measure R Bond Projects – Bond Construction Funds

In 2001, the District put forward, as adopted by the Board of Trustees, 17 projects for use with the Measure R bond funding in a Master Plan. During 2005, the Master Plan was reconfigured to better accommodate the projects and improvements associated with bond funding. Several of the original Measure R projects have been modified to balance cost effectiveness of bond funds, state funding priorities and campus needs. Budget amounts below were provided by the District and may be subject to further revision. The District has incurred cumulative total costs of \$241,776,671 through June 30, 2013 of which \$1,999,338 were expended during fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. The projects and related costs are outlined below:

, are out	mica below.		Total Budget	Actual Costs for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013		Cumulative Costs through June 30, 2013	
Project 1	Science Laboratories	\$	14,407,522	\$	67,070	\$	14,359,842
Project 2	Workforce Training Center		290,433				290,433
Project 3	Campus-wide Energy						
	Conservation Program		15,544,446				15,544,446
Project 4	Off-Campus Learning Centers		389				389
Project 5	Agricultural Science		19,754,858		34,188		19,712,530
Project 6	Child Development Center		1,800,848		17,511		1,800,774
Project 7	Campus Classroom Improvements		32,940,965		18,830		32,784,841
Project 10	Design and On-line Technology						
	Center		13,700,123		1,271,007		13,586,549
Project 11	Physical Education/Wellness						
	Facility and Athletic Fields		10,406,541				10,400,851
Project 12	Campus Center		73,882				73,882
Project 13	Student Support Services						
	Renovations		7,364,880		2,815		7,364,282
Project 14	Welding/Air Conditioning						
	Programs		6,181,760				6,181,760
Project 15	Language Center		9,776,059				9,776,059
Project 16	Health Careers Center		9,789,393				9,789,393
Project 17	Campus-Wide Improvements		100,340,623		587,917		99,953,650
Bond Investr	nent		156,990				156,990
Bond Refund	ling Reserves/Interest Reserves		73,922	_		_	
		\$	242,603,634	\$	1,999,338	\$	241,776,671
Budget Reco	nciliation:						
Measure R Proceeds		\$	220,999,812				
	ling Proceeds		8,606,226				
Bond Investr	nent Funds	_	12,997,596				
		\$	242,603,634				

## PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) LEASE REVENUE BONDS (2007) BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES (2009)

June 30, 2013

#### **RESULTS OF PROCEDURES (continued)**

The following list outlines the detailed expenditures tested for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. The costs tested appear appropriate for the respective projects.

#### <u>Project 1 – Science Laboratories</u>

Current year expenditures tested included supervision and inspection services.

#### <u>Project 5 – Agricultural Science</u>

Current year expenditures tested included landscape services.

#### **Project 7 – Campus Classroom Improvements**

Current year expenditures tested included inspection services.

#### <u>Project 10 – Design and On-line Technology Center</u>

Current year expenditures tested included construction, electrical, landscape and irrigation, equipment, furniture, and labor and material costs for installation of furniture.

#### Project 17 – Campus-Wide Improvements

The campus-wide improvement project has been sub-divided into nine categories. Not all project categories had expenditures during this period. Expenditures were reviewed in the following area:

17f. Campus-wide improvements – Current year expenditures tested included design and construction documents for the Founders Hall project and architect services, testing and inspection, and construction for the Administration Remodel Site Improvements project.

### PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF

#### PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) LEASE REVENUE BONDS (2007) BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES (2009)

June 30, 2013

#### **RESULTS OF PROCEDURES (continued)**

#### Related Measure RR Bond Projects – Lease Revenue and BAN Funds

In 2008, the District put forward, as adopted by the Board of Trustees, the Official Ballot for Measure RR which describes the projects to be completed with the bond funding. Project costs have been expended using proceeds from lease revenue bonds and bond anticipation notes, which will be repaid using Measure RR proceeds. Budget amounts below were provided by the District and may be subject to further revision. The District has incurred cumulative total costs of \$11,000,756 and \$50,407,780 through June 30, 2013 with the use of lease revenue funds and BAN funds, respectively. The projects and costs are outlined below:

#### Lease Revenue Funds

	Total Budget	Actual Costs for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013	Cumulative Costs through June 30, 2013	
Project 7 Campus Classroom Improvements Project 17 Campus-wide Improvements	\$ 3,725,707 	\$ 87,503	\$ 3,725,707 	
	\$ 11,026,381	\$ 87,503	\$ 11,000,756	
Budget Reconciliation: Lease Revenue Bond Proceeds Bond Investment Funds	\$ 10,904,256			

#### Project 17 – Campus-wide Improvements

The campus-wide improvement project has been sub-divided into four categories. Not all project categories had expenditures during the period tested. Expenditures were tested in the following area:

Admin. Administration Building Remodel – Current year expenditures tested included labor, safety fees, purchase of equipment, and flooring installation. Costs were tested and appear proper for the project.

### PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF

#### PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) LEASE REVENUE BONDS (2007) BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES (2009)

June 30, 2013

#### **RESULTS OF PROCEDURES (continued)**

#### **BAN Funds**

DAN Tunu	s		Total Budget	Ţ	cetual Costs for Fiscal Year Ended une 30, 2013	C	Cumulative osts through one 30, 2013
Project A	Library, Learning Resources and	•	107.500	Φ.		<b>a</b> h	107.500
D 1 1 D	Campus Center	\$	197,529	\$	224 575	\$	197,529
Project B	Business and Computer Technology		526,083		224,575		469,105
Project C	Child Development Center		16,728,825		5,168,413		13,649,692
Project D	Athletics Complex Phase 2		38,772				38,772
Project E	Career and Technical Education		00.010				
	Building Renovation		92,043		27,035		92,043
Project F	Classroom Building Renovation		4,567,312		130,982		4,344,328
Project G	Laboratory Building Expansion						
	Sciences		792,701		746,214		782,340
Project H	Fire Academy		215,030				106,161
Project J	Parking, Public Safety, and Traffic						
	Improvements		357,984		72,845		357,984
Project K	Scheduled Maintenance		2,667,683		1,004,453		1,962,431
Project L1	Infrastructure Improvement						
	Utilities, Site, Traffic, Energy		5,400,412		1,512,315		3,106,542
Project L3	Temporary Space		1,672,486		479,123		701,870
Project L4	Demolition		280,000		165,082		165,082
Project L5	Administration Building Remodel		1,121,246		102,369		1,104,173
Project L6	Contingency		65,431				
Project L7	Campus-wide Improvement Projects		16,182,317		3,762,527		8,408,218
Project L8	Construction Support		5,865,192		2,588,007		5,325,509
Lease Revenu	e Bonds (COPS) Debt Retirement		9,596,001				9,596,001
Bond Investm	ent/Interest Reserves	-	80,705			_	
		\$	66,447,752	<u>\$</u>	15,983,940	<u>\$</u>	50,407,780

## PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) LEASE REVENUE BONDS (2007) BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES (2009)

June 30, 2013

#### **RESULTS OF PROCEDURES (continued)**

The following list outlines the detailed expenditures tested for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. The costs tested appear appropriate for the respective projects.

#### Project B - Business and Computer Technology

Current year expenditures tested included architect and engineering consulting fees.

#### <u>Project C – Child Development Center</u>

Current year expenditures tested included architectural design, demolition, construction, project management, inspection services, mechanical and HVAC, labor and material for mold abatement, plumbing, and electrical.

#### Project F - Classroom Building Renovation

The classroom building renovation project has been sub-divided into two categories. Not all project categories had expenditures during the period tested. Expenditures were tested in the following area:

F1. Phase 1 – Building 45 Renovation – Current year expenditures tested included inspection services, program and construction management, release of retention for plumbing services, and labor.

#### <u>Project G – Laboratory Building Expansion – Sciences</u>

Current year expenditures tested included professional consulting services, construction, and inspection services for the Astronomy Dome – Building 60.

### PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF

#### PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) LEASE REVENUE BONDS (2007) BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES (2009)

June 30, 2013

#### **RESULTS OF PROCEDURES (continued)**

#### <u>Project K – Scheduled Maintenance</u>

The scheduled maintenance project has been sub-divided into 24 categories. Three additional categories were added since the prior year. Not all project categories had expenditures during the period tested. Expenditures were reviewed for the following areas:

- K4. Miscellaneous Interior Improvements Current year expenditures tested included labor and materials.
- K11. Building 2 Waterproofing Current year expenditures tested included labor and materials.
- K12. Library Security Upgrades Current year expenditures tested included labor and materials.
- K13. Building 26 Air Handlers Current year expenditures tested included engineering services.
- K19. Farm Drainage Repairs Current year expenditures tested included labor and materials.
- K20. Boiler Repair / Replacement Current year expenditures tested included the purchase of boilers and labor and materials for repairs and installation.
- K21. Building 28B Fire Alarm Upgrade Current year expenditures tested included abatement services, labor and materials, and the installation of new ceiling tiles.
- K22. Scheduled Maintenance 2012/13 Current year expenditures tested included labor and materials.
- K24. Rekey Various Buildings Current year expenditures tested included the purchase of materials.

### PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF

#### PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) LEASE REVENUE BONDS (2007) BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES (2009)

June 30, 2013

#### **RESULTS OF PROCEDURES (continued)**

#### <u>Project L1 – Infrastructure Improvement (Utilities, Site, Traffic, Energy)</u>

The infrastructure improvement project has been sub-divided into 24 categories. One additional category was added since the prior year. Certain project categories have been further divided into multiple projects to allow for tracking of different buildings or activities. Not all project categories had expenditures during the period tested. Expenditures were reviewed for the following areas:

- L1-A. San Jose Hills Entrance Intersection Current year expenditures tested included project management.
- L1-D. Temple and Bonita Intersection Current year expenditures tested included the purchase of equipment, inspection services, and construction.
- L1-E. Bonita and Walnut Intersection Current year expenditures tested included landscaping and construction.
- L1-H2. Wildlife Sanctuary Improvements Current year expenditures tested included engineering and landscaping.
- L1-I2. Campus Interior Site Improvements South of Building 12 Current year expenditures tested included architectural design services.
- L1-Q1. Utility Infrastructure SW Building 47 Current year expenditures tested included architectural planning and engineering services.
- L1-T. Utility Infrastructure Farm Current year expenditures tested included labor costs.
- L1-U1. Energy Projects, Building 60 Current year expenditures tested included engineering services.
- L1-U2. Energy Projects, Building 23A Current year expenditures tested included construction, labor, and materials.

### PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF

#### PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) LEASE REVENUE BONDS (2007) BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES (2009)

June 30, 2013

#### **RESULTS OF PROCEDURES (continued)**

#### Project L3 - Temporary Space

The temporary space project has been sub-divided into 11 categories. Not all project categories had expenditures during the period tested. Expenditures were reviewed for the following areas:

- L3-B. Temporary Space, Building 23 Upgrade Current year expenditures tested included architectural design.
- L3-E. Temporary Space, Renovation for Counseling Current year expenditures tested included labor.

#### Project L4 - Demolition

The demolition project has been sub-divided into four categories. Not all project categories had expenditures during the period tested. Expenditures were reviewed in the following areas:

- L4-A. Building 21 Demolition Current year expenditures tested included abatement and demolition.
- L4-D. Remove Building 37 Current year expenditures tested included labor.

#### Project L7 – Campus-wide Improvement Projects

The campus-wide improvement project has been sub-divided into 21 categories. Four additional categories were added since the prior year. Not all project categories had expenditures during the period tested. Expenditures were reviewed in the following areas:

- L7-B. Building 12 Renovation for Classroom Space Current year expenditures tested included architectural design and construction.
- L7-C1. Building 47 Facilities Plan Room and Renovation Current year expenditures tested included program management.

### PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF

#### PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) LEASE REVENUE BONDS (2007) BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES (2009)

June 30, 2013

#### **RESULTS OF PROCEDURES (continued)**

#### Project L7 – Campus-wide Improvement Projects (continued)

- L7-C2. Food Service Building Current year expenditures tested included architectural planning and design and survey services
- L7-C8. Student Service Annex Current year expenditures tested included architectural design, construction, and lease payments associated with a mobile classroom.
- L7-C11. Administration Remodel Current year expenditures tested included release of retention for plumbing and site utilities, construction management, and labor and materials.
- L7-C12. Agricultural Sciences Current year expenditures tested included engineering, architectural planning, and HVAC improvements.
- L7-C13. Design and Online Technology Current year expenditures tested included inspection services, construction, and labor.
- L7-C15. Building 40 Renovation Current year expenditures tested included a feasibility study.
- L7-C16. SIM Lab Remodel Current year expenditures tested included labor and materials.
- L7-C18. Building 1A Remodel Printmaking Current year expenditures tested included architectural design.

## PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS
(MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001)
LEASE REVENUE BONDS (2007)
BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES (2009)

June 30, 2013

#### **RESULTS OF PROCEDURES (continued)**

#### Project L8 – Construction Support

Current year expenditures tested included District salaries, legal consultation related to various construction contracts, architectural planning and design, professional services for the utility master plan, planning studies, and building inspection services. District salaries were charged only to the BAN fund and included costs for a Project Administrator, a Senior Construction Project Manager, an Account Clerk II (construction accountant), two Construction Project Managers, and three Construction / Project Specialists.

#### CONCLUSION

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Mt. San Antonio Community College District has properly accounted for the expenditures associated with bond construction funds, lease revenue funds, and BAN funds. Additionally, expenditures associated with bond construction funds were made on authorized Measure R bond projects, and expenditures associated with lease revenue funds and BAN funds were made on authorized Measure RR bond projects. Further, the bond construction funds, lease revenue funds, and BAN funds were not used for salaries of school administrators or other operating expenditures.

In addition, the results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the District complied with the applicable California Education Code requirements for which the purpose of a Citizens' Oversight Committee is established. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with these requirements.