

Members [19]

- 🖂 🛛 Audrey Yamagata-Noji, Co-Chair
- Madelyn Arballo
- ☑ David Beydler
- □ George Bradshaw
- 🛛 Monika Chavez

Student Representatives:

Guests: Sokha Song, Ryan Wilson, Maria Tsai

\boxtimes	Lance Heard, Co-Chai	r
-------------	----------------------	---

- Guadalupe De La CruzFrancisco Dorame
- John Kuchta
- 🛛 Sara Mestas
- 🖂 Hugo Fulcheri

\boxtimes	Matt Munro
\boxtimes	Michelle Nava

- Donna Necke
- Bruce Nixon

- 🛛 Briseida Ramirez-Catalan
- 🖂 🛛 Lani Ruh

- Chisa Uyeki
- \boxtimes Jeanne Marie Velickovic

ltem No.	Agenda Item	Discussion	Outcome
1.0	Review Today's Agenda and Minutes: <u>November 2, 2020</u>	Introduced new members Michelle Nava (English faculty) and John Kuchta (Welding).	Minutes motion, seconded, approved by the Council
			Accreditation Standard IV.A.7
2.0	Committee Meeting Minutes for Review and Approval		
a.	Student Equity – <u>October 26</u> and <u>November 9</u> minutes received for acceptance	Bruce shared that the committee worked with Associated Students leadership.	Minutes accepted by the Council
b.	Assessment and Matriculation – October 28 minutes received for acceptance	1-year throughput report presented by Maria Tsai	Minutes accepted by the Council
C.	Retention and Persistence – <u>October 27</u> and <u>November 10</u> minutes received for acceptance	Jeanne Marie shared that the committee is really focused on equity. Want to serve all students and looking at how different students are disproportionately impacted. Finding out where the gaps are and documenting it. When looking at retention and persistence, focused on students achieving goal and moving forward to achieving academic goal. Francisco inquired of classified representation for the Retention and Persistence committee. Chisa shared that there is a classified at large position vacant.	Minutes accepted by the Council
3.0	Retention & Persistence Committee 2020-21 Purpose & Function statement	Functions relate closely with our functions, as well as Assessment and Matriculation committee.	Purpose and Function statement accepted yby the Council



Γ			
		Will continue to look at purpose and function statement on a	
		monthly basis	
4.0	2019-20 SEA Annual Report (due January 1)	Late notice to complete Workgroup choose 2 additional di groups Jeanne Marie inquired about the ACCESS cource Outcomes: COVID-19 Check lupita's suggestions Talk about increase in graduation rates in regards to system with auto-awarding. Auto award new system looks at the courses they took and match across all neighbors 983 degrees issued through auto-award DHH English & Speech paired courses Audrey will send another draft to all Madelyn asked if she can contribute outcomes part	
5.0	 <u>AP 3434 – Responding to Sex Based</u> <u>Harassment Under Title IX</u> 	Ryan and Sokha shared AP 3434 Released new regulations regarding Title IX sexual harassment. Incorporated these new regulations in this ap. Stronger is terms of college impact Identified Title IX coordinator Not deliberitly indifferent – reach out to individuals Title IX jurisdications depends on criteria Harrassment has to occur in the united states Refers to off campus activites Has to meet the definition of Sexual Harrasment Don't have to be current student/employee Any former staff within 3 years; former student within 1 year The state language hold for us in terms of oversight Left up to individual colleges to define consent Has to have affirmative consent otherwise will fall under sexual harassment	





Other specific circumstances that prevent the	e college from
gathering evidence sufficient to reach a deter	mination
regarding responsibility as to the formal com	plaint or
allegations	
Limit to geographic location. Would not apply	study abroad.
Language specific to title IX regualtions che	•
If dismissed have to provide notice of this	
Appeals would go to VP-HR	
Student and employees go through same pro	cess
Timeline for completion – within 180 days – o	
hearing process	
Advisor can be anyone	
In a case where the student/employee doesn	't have advbisor
the college would have to provide for them, fi	
Have to remain confidential throughout the p	•
Cannot used privileged information without c	
Investigations need to be conducted by a trai	
Evidence standard – this is what is required i	•
California	
Parties have 10 days to provide a written res	oonse
Live hearing is required, doesn't mean that the	
the same room together. Can be held via zoo	
Under Title IX, audio/visual recording is requ	
Decision making panel will be a panel of 3 m	
serving as chair person.	
Panel will be made up of a pool, make up of	SS Instruction and
admin services.	
Decision maker will be trained in the process	
Cannot be any biased in the hearing and the	
The devision makeers may ask the parties qu	lestions
Continuation of hearing can be requested	10010
Hearing process	
	helle n
The advisors can cross-examined icl	וכווכ ף
Page 12 – "insulpatory" to be corrected	



Audery asked – we have has sensitivity of the complainant not	
wanting to be seen by the respondent	
Ryan said that the complainant would have to be seen	
somehow, in order for consideration. Complainant has to	
submit cross examination.	
Sokha said that this was a challenge that he and Ryan had.	
To make it more challenging, they have to answer every	
questions in the cross examination.	
The hearing officers can strike a question that is being asked,	
but have to provide and explanation.	
but have to provide and explanation.	
"The hearing	
#4 & #5 are direct contradiction to #3	
John asked what are the chances of this being revisted with the	
new administration.	
Ryan says that it is high for this issue to be revisted. However,	
it could take some time to be implemented.	
Decision makers will deliberate after the live hearing	
The determinations will have to include the grounds for	
respondent to appeal	
Appeal of a dismissal – provide a written appeal	
Specific grounds for appeal – a procedural irregularity affected	
by the outcome	
The appeal procedure requires a written request	
Still an option for informal resolution process, including	
mediation in lieu of formal investigation	
Retaliation prohibited under Title IX	
Everyone under the process needs to be trained Check	
Bruce asked if both parties agree during informal process, will	
the formal process still need to proceed. Yes.	
Lani asked if she took a team to compete in Canada and	
harassed, would it fall under title IX. Ryan says no, but still	



		should be reported . Sokha clarified that even though faculty is	
		not named in title IX, still applies in the state.	
		During investigation, if between 2 students in one class, what	
		happens?	
		Cannot force someone to leave a class, but (CHECK)	
		Sometimes complainant would say they do not want to leave	
		the class. Cannot tell them to leave	
		Athletes, could look into different practice times.	
		Time can impact the ability to continue education	
		David pointed out on page 7 and incomplete sentence under	
		Supportive Measures.	
		President Scroggins and HR not supportive of these	
		regulations and not happy with AP.	
		Will look at it again with new administration.	
		Passed for a second reading during thanksgiving week.	
		Threshold is so high and will likely be bounced back	
		Decision makers are not confidential. Leave responsibility to	
		area VPs . Ensure equity amongst different areas.	
		If respondents disliked, can potentially sue title IX coordinator.	
		But with these regulations, the decision makers can be sued.	
		Challenging if you have never sat in a hearing.	
		Acting as agents of the college, so would be covered by the	
		college.	
		CA has a rule in place where were required to update annually	
6.0	AB705 Equitable Placement Validation of	Check	
	Practices (Maria Tsai)	How do you decide on the pre-transfer?	
	Equitable Placement Validation of		
	Practices Submission form (due	Work in progress.	
	January 15)	Maria is coming up with data set to submit	
	Final AB 705 Validation Template	Use this number to access equity progress	
	• ESS 20-300-009 AB 705 Validation of	SLAM – "Statistics and Liberal Arts Math	
	Practices	Algebra track – do not have pre-statistics track	



	 <u>AB 705 Research Action Plan</u> <u>Prioritization</u> <u>AB 705 Research Progress</u> 	 Technically due in June but decided to make it due January, under the premise that they will provide feedback Can send out 1-yr throughput rate can be sent to council members Can do another joint meeting to present this report 	
7.0	AM Recommendation 55 (David)	Technical clean up of AQ Helps students to get to the right place For non ELL students Comes down to AMLA branching questions in AQ. Some of the students will be given Engl 1A	Council moves to approve Move recommendation forward to Academic
8.0	Announcement – SEAP Instruction carryover mini grant process workgroup (Madelyn)	Carryover from the SEAP fund. Proposed mini-grant process Hoping the process is similar to the Guided Pathways mini grant process Will be open to managers, faculty, and faculty/manager teams. Would like volunteers for workgroup members. The members will be forwarded to VPI Will be required to collect outcome data Each funded project would have a unique SEAP budget structure created and placed within the appropriate division's budget, overseen by the division/department manager and then tracked for spending. Workgroup will develop mini-grant process and send out to campus community for Spring 2021 project start dates. Final amount will have to be determined by cabinet. The hope is that it will expand to the calendar 2020-21. Good place to come because there is a baseline strategy. Challenge is the timeline. The real issue is the term and how much time to spend the money.	



	Chisa said that there may be other faculty that may be interested possibly on the Student Equity Committee. Madelyn said that it will likely take 2 meetings	
Future Presentations/discussions		
See attached		
Next meeting dates: March 1, March 15, April		
5, April 19, May 3, May 17, June 7		