Mt. San Antonio College					
Director, Research & Institutional Effectiveness (Co-Chair)	Patty Quinones	x	Academic Senate President or Designee	Roger Willis	
Associate Dean, Natural Sciences (Co-Chair)	Jimmy Tamayo	Х	Director of Human Resources or Designee	Ryan Wilson	
Faculty Outcomes Coordinator (Co-Chair)	Kelly Coreas	Х	Faculty – Student Services (appointed by AS)	Vacant	
Faculty Noncredit (appointed by AS)	Landry Chaplot	Х	Faculty Credit (appointed by AS)	Vacant	
Associate Vice President, Instruction	Meghan Chen	Х	Student Services (appointed by VPSS)	Vacant	
Classified (appointed by CSEA 262)	Yvette Garcia		Classified (appointed by CSEA 651)	Vacant	
Faculty Accreditation Coordinator	Barbara Mezaki	Х	Student (appointed by Associated Students)	Vacant	
Information Technology (appointed by VP Admin Services)	Kate Morales	Х	Guest – Assistant Dean, Accreditation and Planning	Lianne Greenlee	
PIE Liaison	Krupa Patel	Х	Guest – Senior Research Analyst	Cathy Stute	X
Budget Committee Liaison	Rosa Royce	Х	Guest – Senior Facilities Planner	Megan Moscol	Х
Instructional Services (appointed by VP Instruction)	Sylvia Ruano	Х	Recorder	Wendi Alcazar	Х

AGENDA					
Topic 1		Time	Discussion/Outcome		
1.	Welcome and Introductions	1:30			
2.	Review of the Agenda	1:40	Reviewed.		
3.	Approval of the <u>September 13<sup>th</sup></u> Minutes	1:45	<ul> <li>Motion to approve the minutes, B. Mezaki 1<sup>st</sup>, S. Ruano 2<sup>nd</sup>.</li> <li>Motion approved.</li> </ul>		
4.	PIE Update (Krupa & Patty)	1:50	<ul> <li>Nuventive is down as we update the platform. We are making more changes that will make it more user-friendly.</li> <li>The VP PIEs deadline will be affected.</li> <li>October 2<sup>nd</sup> is the target date to have the system open to users.</li> <li>There is going to be a mini-PIE workgroup that will be looking at the process including the template, and making sure it is aligned with the new standards.         <ul> <li>We will update the template to include data.</li> <li>It is due each year, is this meaningful or are we just going through the motions?</li> <li>The mini-PIE workgroup will meet for their first meeting soon.</li> <li>PIE may take too long for some program requests.</li> <li>What should be included in PIE? Perhaps there is a separate process that we can create to capture this other information.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		

<ul> <li>We're excited to start working on this and make changes</li> </ul>
that are beneficial for all.
<ul> <li>Those in the workgroup are Pauline Swartz, Annel Me-</li> </ul>
dina Tagarao, Krupa Patel, Jennifer Hinostroza, Cathy
Hayward, John Vitullo, and Megan Moscol as needed.
<ul> <li>We need to go back and ask for more representation</li> </ul>
from some groups. Perhaps a student representative and
more faculty.
<ul> <li>Rosa Royce volunteered for the mini-PIE workgroup.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Some committees are included in Nuventive to submit a PIE,</li> </ul>
but there is no data in the system.
<ul> <li>Is it appropriate for committees to create a PIE? There</li> </ul>
was some discussion previously and it was decided that
we should not.
<ul> <li>It's challenging enough without including the commit-</li> </ul>
tees. We have a lot of work to do in updating our current
process.
• There should be clarity that the Associated Students (AS)
should not be asked to pay for our institutional needs.
<ul> <li>We should review the AS process for requesting funding and see if we can get some ideas to improve our own</li> </ul>
process.
<ul> <li>We need to figure out our own processes to make sure</li> </ul>
that everyone is aware that requesting funds from the students should be a last resort.
<ul> <li>People conflate PIE with asking for resources. PIE is sup-</li> </ul>
posed to be a program review.
<ul> <li>Meaningful program review has been lost; it's turned</li> </ul>
into resource requests.
<ul> <li>They can be linked but that is not the only reason for</li> </ul>
program review.
We need to implement more PIE training to start moving the
needle on the campus understanding of program review.
<ul> <li>It gets watered down when you do it every year.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>PIE should be a way to hold programs responsible for</li> </ul>
completing and meeting their goals.
<ul> <li>PIE is about program improvement.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Time to think about the actions that they're going to take to get the outcome the surgert</li> </ul>
take to get the outcome they want.

			<ul> <li>Every year is probably more often than is needed.</li> <li>We need to ask the right questions, even those who attend the training, may forget the training once they start their PIE.</li> <li>This will include the MoO, which is now the Institutional &amp; Effectiveness Plan (I&amp;EP).</li> <li>All these need to be aligned: Curriculum, PIE, Outcomes, and Effectiveness.</li> </ul>	
5.	New Accreditation Standards & College Plans – Crosswalk	2:05	<ul> <li>How do we want to move forward with this work?</li> <li>A workgroup would be the most beneficial.</li> <li>We have an Associate Dean of Accreditation, perhaps we should wait until she has returned.</li> <li>We will wait until the Associate Dean is available to assist in the workgroup.</li> </ul>	
6.	EPAC Update	2:20	<ul> <li>We could present the intersectionality of the new standard and the college plans.</li> <li>EPAC may be in November.</li> <li>PAC created a small workgroup to revise AP's and BP's.</li> <li>We expanded EPAC, to include PIE, DEISA+, and IEC Chairs.</li> <li>We could also include Vision 2030.</li> <li>This could be informative in the EFMP.</li> <li>We had EPAC in Spring 2023:</li> <li>They took the strategic plan and then identified which actions were completed, which were being worked on, and who was, or should work on them.</li> <li>The information gathered from this exercise is being input onto a spreadsheet for review.</li> </ul>	

<ul> <li>7. Budget Committee Update (Rosa)</li> <li>We had a very robust budget committee the 2023-2024 FY. We talked for one and the goals. Items discussed: <ul> <li>DEISA+: How do we link resource allow What does this mean?</li> <li>Perhaps a prioritization rubric regardineed to ask DEISA-focused questions plate?</li> <li>How do the constituency groups under and why it is relevant to them?</li> <li>Why do we need more certificates?</li> <li>As changes happen, we will update the Buguide which was completed last year. We in the guide.</li> <li>One of our goals is to keep a close relation and PIE.</li> <li>Additionally, understanding the new standing the new sta</li></ul></li></ul>	what to do with this infor- ntially ongoing. at are our priorities, who tcome? PAC. heir agenda? and then send them to entation? ess to this document. ready doing this work. es as well, for review and
Fall 2023 Meetings: October 11 <sup>th</sup> , October 25 <sup>th</sup> , November 8 <sup>th</sup> , November 22 <sup>nd</sup> , December 13 <sup>th</sup> .	he and a half hours about ce allocation and DEISA? regarding DEISA. Do we stions in the PIE tem- os understand the budget ettes? The Budget development ar. We have included PIE relationship with both IEC