

**From:** Frickert, Allie

**Sent:** Monday, October 3, 2022 11:52 AM

**To:** Fowler, Kelly <[kelly.fowler@mtsac.edu](mailto:kelly.fowler@mtsac.edu)>; Rickard, Malcolm <[mrickard@mtsac.edu](mailto:mrickard@mtsac.edu)>

**Subject:** Accreditation input- C&I Council

Dear Committee/Council Chairs,

The Accreditation Core team is reaching out to you because your group has been identified as a potential "Resource Committee/Council" whose work appears in the current draft of our Institutional Self-Evaluation Report (ISER).

Firstly, please let me know if a different person has been appointed as chair as your committee webpage may not have been updated yet.

In our efforts to be inclusive as well as gain as much feedback as possible, we would like to informally offer your committee the opportunity to review the sections of the current ISER draft which include the work of your group. (The matched sub-standards are listed below). This offer is optional- it will depend on the planned work of your committee this Fall and whether members are interested in participating in this review. If yes, this provides the opportunity for committee members to affirm or correct the policies, procedures, and practices of your group as reflected in various parts of the ISER as well as review the current evidence, as such, serving as a "Resource Committee/Council" for our Accreditation practices.

We request that you agendaize this topic as a discussion item in an upcoming meeting to help determine what, if any role, your committee would like to play in this process. I am the liaison assigned to this Resource Committee and if you would like me to provide a short verbal explanation of this opportunity to your committee, I'm happy to attend as a guest. The offer for review is open-ended in terms of process.

If your committee opts out of draft review, there will be one final opportunity to provide feedback individually when the ISER is released to the entire campus community in the Spring semester.

Timeline: we are requesting that any feedback the committee opts to provide is concluded by **November 14<sup>th</sup>**.

Some options for review:

- Full review of the matched sub-standards- I can provide a compilation draft.
- Partial review- your group may prefer to prioritize only the most significant sections.
- Individual feedback- I can provide electronic drafts for your whole committee and they can respond to me individually via email as time permits.
- Small group feedback during a meeting- utilizing breakout rooms or small groups to divide sections and review together.
- Q/A style feedback- I can provide a draft in advance of a meeting and then attend as a guest and answer any questions and record suggestions from the group.

Sub-standards matched to the work of your committee:

Inst. Effectiveness

I.B.2

Transparency

I.C.3

I.C. 4

Instructional

II.A.2

II.A.3

II.A.5

II.A.7

II.A.9

II.A.10

II.A.11

II.A.13

II.A.15

II.A.16

Shared Gov

IV.A.4

Thank you for your consideration of this offer and for your continued work in supporting Accreditation practices in your everyday work!

Allie

Faculty Co-Coordinator Accreditation

Allie Frickert-Murashige  
Professor of Asian History

[ACUE Certified](#)



Assistant Faculty Accreditation Coordinator  
she, her, hers

*Mt. SAC is located on [Tongva lands/territories](#). They are the traditional caretakers of Tovaangar (the Los Angeles Basin, So. Channel Islands), what we now call home and where Mt. SAC is geographically situated. Much respect to the original peoples of this land, to the Honuukvetam (Ancestors), 'Ahihirom (Elders) and 'Eyoohiinkem (our relatives/relations) past, present and emerging.*