PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) PERFORMANCE AUDIT

June 30, 2005

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) PERFORMANCE AUDIT

June 30, 2005

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Objectives	2
Scope of the Audit	2
Background Information	3
Procedures Performed	3
Results of Procedures	4-7
Conclusion	7

ROYCE A. STUTZMAN

Partners

PETER F. GAUTREAU RENÉE S. GRAVES WADE N. MCMULLEN KARIN HECKMAN NELSON CARL PON GEMA M. PTASINSKI MARY ANN QUAY LINDA M. SADDLEMIRE

Principal

JERI A. WENGER

Senior Managers

JANETTE CAMPS
CLAUDETTE ELIAS DAIN
TIMOTHY D. EVANS
PRISCILLA OSBORNE FLORES
PHEBE M. MCCUTCHEON
SHARI PROSSER
COLLEEN K. TAYLOR

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

The Board of Trustees
The Citizens' Oversight Committee
Mt. San Antonio Community College District
1100 North Grand Avenue
Walnut, CA 91789

We have examined the Mt. San Antonio Community College District's compliance with the performance requirements for the Mt. San Antonio Community College District's General Obligation Bonds 2001 Election, Series A and Series B ("Bonds") for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, under the applicable provisions of Section 1(b)(3)(c) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution and Proposition 39 as they apply to the Bonds and the net proceeds thereof. Management is responsible for the Mt. San Antonio Community College District's compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Mt. San Antonio Community College District's compliance with such requirements thereof based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about Mt. San Antonio Community College District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures, as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on Mt. San Antonio Community College District's compliance with specified requirements.

In our opinion, the Mt. San Antonio Community College District complied, in all material respects, with the aforementioned requirements for the year ended June 30, 2005.

Dicenti, Lloyd + Shotmen UP VICENTI, LLOYD & STUTZMAN LLP

September 30, 2005

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) PERFORMANCE AUDIT

June 30, 2005

OBJECTIVES

The objectives of our Performance Audit were to:

- Document the expenditures charged to the Mt. San Antonio Community College District Bond Construction Fund (hereinafter referred to as the "Construction Fund") established for the Bonds and the net Bond proceeds deposited into the Construction Fund.
- Determine whether expenditures from July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005, charged to the Construction Fund, have been made in accordance with the bond project authorization approved by the voters through the approval of Measure R in November 2001 ("Bond Projects").
- Note any incongruities or system weaknesses and provide recommendations for improvement.
- Provide the District Board and the Measure R Citizens' Oversight Committee with a performance audit as required under the requirements of the California Constitution and Proposition 39.

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our Performance Audit covered the period from July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005 and included all object and project codes associated with the Bond Projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other state or local funding sources were not included within the scope of our audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2005 were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit.

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) PERFORMANCE AUDIT

June 30, 2004

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

On November 6, 2001, \$221 million in general obligation bonds were authorized by an election held within the Mt. San Antonio Community College District. A Citizens' Oversight Committee was appointed in December 2001 to comply with the California Constitution and Education Code. The purpose of the Committee is to inform the public at least annually regarding the appropriate use of the bond proceeds. On May 1, 2002 the first series of bonds in the amount of \$40 million was issued. On February 4, 2004, the second series of bonds in the amount of \$75 million was issued. The total proceeds from the bonds were received by the District (less the original bond issuance costs) and are to be used to finance the construction, acquisition, furnishing and equipping of District facilities. California Constitution, Article 13A, Section 1(b)(3)(c) requires an annual performance audit be conducted to ensure that funds have been expended only on the specific projects publicized by the District.

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure summary reports and detail prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005 for the Construction Fund. Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39/Measure R funding. We performed the following procedures:

- We reviewed the Campus Master Plan Bond Project publicized list of intended projects.
- We selected a sample of expenditures in the fiscal year ending June 30, 2005 and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that funds were properly expended on the specific projects outlined on the publicized list and met the requirements for bidding, if applicable.
- We compared total project expenditures to budgets to determine if there were any expenditures in excess of appropriation.
- We verified that funds were generally used for the construction, acquisition, furnishing and equipping of District facilities and we verified that funding was not used for salaries of school administrators or other operating expenses of the District.

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) PERFORMANCE AUDIT

June 30, 2005

RESULTS OF PROCEDURES

The District put forward 17 projects for use with the bond funding. The District has incurred cumulative total costs of \$70,614,017 through June 30, 2005 of which \$22,797,819 were expended during fiscal year ended June 30, 2005. The projects are outlined below:

		-	Total Budget	Actual Costs for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005		N	Actual Costs May 1, 2002 through June 30, 2005	
Project 1	Science Laboratories	\$	8,950,000	\$	338,284	\$	2,647,393	
Project 2	Workforce Training Center		290,433				290,433	
Project 3	Campus-wide Energy							
-	Conservation Program		12,089,000		206,738		12,029,700	
Project 4	Off-Campus Learning Centers		2,000,000				388	
Project 5	Agricultural Science		15,716,000		422,772		1,593,636	
Project 6	Child Development Center/							
	Early Childhood Learning Lab		16,000,000		183,133		183,133	
Project 7	Campus Classroom Improvements		21,000,000		1,542,531		2,019,067	
Project 10	Design and Online Technology							
	Center		12,500,000		86,262		243,278	
Project 11	Physical Education/Wellness							
	Facility and Athletic Fields		22,600,000		4,966,612		8,813,734	
	Interest Funded Expenditures				60,550		60,550	
Project 12	Campus Center		83,232				58,482	
Project 13	Student Support Services				242.022		500 500	
	Renovations		4,300,000		263,022		530,502	
Project 14	Welding/Air Conditioning							
	Programs ⁽¹⁾		5,625,000		2,839,257		5,996,836	
	Interest Funded Expenditures				68,417		74,767	
Project 15	Language Center ⁽¹⁾		8,901,541		2,886,495		9,283,387	
	Interest Funded Expenditures				79,134		79,134	
Project 16	Health Careers Center(1)		8,755,000		2,136,546		9,100,953	
ū	Interest Funded Expenditures				236,136		244,821	
Project 17	Campus-wide Improvements				6,179,190		16,920,135	
-	Interest Funded Expenditures				209,889		350,837	
	Bond Investment		82,189,794		92,851		92,851	
		<u>\$</u>	221,000,000	\$	22,797,819	<u>\$</u>	70,614,017	

Each of the projects has been given a specific project identification number within the District's Construction Fund. Budgets for each project are included in the financial reporting system and actual expenditures are matched against this budget.

⁽¹⁾ Infrastructure costs are included in actual project costs. Budgets for these costs are included in Project 17e – Campus-Wide Infrastructure. As projects are completed, total infrastructure costs will be moved from these projects to project 17e.

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) PERFORMANCE AUDIT

June 30, 2005

RESULTS OF PROCEDURES (continued)

The following outlines results of testing related to material expenditures incurred as of June 30, 2005. Projects with insignificant expenditures and projects with no activity for the audit period were not included.

Construction management costs have been incurred and shared by all projects. Other costs incurred included the following:

<u>Project 7 – Campus Classroom Improvements</u>

Current year expenditures were incurred for the lease of temporary classroom facilities during renovation of permanent classrooms, architectural services for renovation of buildings 7, 11 and 26, and construction management services. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 11 – Physical Education/Wellness Facility and Athletic Fields

Current year expenditures included paving of parking areas and masonry, landscaping and construction of the athletic fields, and construction management services. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 14 – Welding/Air Conditioning Programs

Current year expenditures were incurred for electrical, plumbing and other construction activities for the construction of classroom buildings for the welding and air conditioning programs. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 15 – Language Center

Current year expenditures were incurred for the construction of a new Language Center including paving, masonry and general construction activities. Construction management fees were also incurred. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) PERFORMANCE AUDIT

June 30, 2005

RESULTS OF PROCEDURES (continued)

Project 16 – Health Careers Center

Current year expenditures were incurred for the construction of a new Health Careers and Student Health Services Center building. Expenditures included masonry, electrical and other general construction activities. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 17 – Campus-Wide Improvements

Campus-wide improvement project has been sub-divided into nine specific projects. Significant expenditures were incurred in the following five projects:

- 17a. Scheduled Maintenance and Scheduled Maintenance Match Expenditures were incurred related to repair and replacement of HVAC units, plumbing, electrical hardscape, asbestos abatement and swimming pool renovation. Bond funds are used to meet matching requirements of state funding. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.
- 17e. Campus-wide infrastructure Expenditures were incurred related to landscape, telecommunications and sewer, water, electrical and drainage planning. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.
- 17f. Campus-wide improvements Expenditures were incurred for hazmat abatement for the Art Complex renovation, general construction of the Health Services and Auxiliary Services buildings, architectural services for the Staff Center renovation, Seismic Project and Building #4 Administration Ceiling Project. In addition, construction management services were incurred. These expenditures appear appropriate to the project.
- 17g. Music Expansion Expenditures were incurred for architectural engineering and construction related to the Music Expansion Project. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE R, NOVEMBER 2001) PERFORMANCE AUDIT

June 30, 2005

RESULTS OF PROCEDURES (continued)

<u>Project 17 – Campus-Wide Improvements</u> (continued)

17j. Construction Support – All construction support costs have been segregated and included in this project. Support expenditures included the purchase of equipment and supplies to be used by construction management. This project cost center is also used for amounts paid to the construction management firm for staff services for clerical accounting and special projects related to the bond for both the fiscal services and purchasing departments. Current year expenditures also included architectural services for the campus master plan update. These expenditures appear appropriate for use with bond funds. In addition, the cost related to the performance audit of the bond fund was recorded in this project. This expenditure has been determined by the District's legal counsel to be appropriate.

CONCLUSION

Based upon our procedures performed, we found that for the items tested, the Mt. San Antonio Community College District has properly accounted for the expenditures of the funds held in the Construction Fund and that such expenditures were made on authorized bond projects. Further it was noted that the funds held in the Construction Fund and expended by the District were not expended for salaries of school administrators or other operating expenditures. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on Mt. San Antonio Community College District's compliance with those requirements.